

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addease COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1430 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.webjo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/031,145	07/03/2002	Nicole Zitzmann	080618-0241	6322
22428 FOLEY AND	7590 04/17/2009 LARDNER LLP	EXAMINER		
SUITE 500		CRUZ, KATHRIEN ANN		
3000 K STREET NW WASHINGTON, DC 20007			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	,		1617	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			04/17/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

10/031,145 ZITZMANN ET AL. Office Action Summary F..... A-4 | | |--||4

Application No.

Applicant(s)

	Examiner	AILOIIL				
	KATHRIEN CRUZ	1617				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D. Eletansions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period via the provision of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the maining date of this communication. If NO period reply is period above, the maximum statutory period via Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing aemed patent term adjustment. See as of CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timudil apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	I. sely filed the mailing date of this of the mailing date of this of the control				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 09 Ja	anuary 2009.					
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☐ This	action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>33.35 and 38-40</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>33.35 and 38-40</u> is/are rejected.						
Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form P	ГО-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreigna) All b) Some * c) None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	-(d) or (f).				
 Certified copies of the priority documents 	s have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not receive	d.				
Attachment(s)	_					
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da					
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/Sb/08)	5) Notice of Informal P	atent Application				
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 11/04/2008.	6) Other:					

Attachment(s)		
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/O Paper No(s)/Mail Date 11/04/2008.	(PTO-948) Paper Not	Summary (PTO-413) (s)Mail Date Informal Patert Application
S. Patent and Trademark Office		

Art Unit: 1617

DETAILED ACTION

Claims 33, 35 and 38-40 are pending.

Priority

This application is a 371 of PCT/US00/21732 (dated 08/10/2000)

Action Summary

Claims 33, 35, 38 and 40 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Zitzmann is withdrawn

Claims 33, 35 and 38-40 are rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claim 10 of U.S. Patent No. 7,256,005 is maintain.

Response to Arguments

Applicants argue that the one-way obviousness analysis used by the PTO in the double patenting rejection is an improper analysis under current circumstances. This argument has been fully considered but has not been found persuasive because both the instant application and the U.S. PATENT 7,256,005 (hereinafter '005) were filed on the same day (August 10, 2000). And since the '005 is now a patent without an obvious double patent being filed, an obvious double patent must be filed with the later filed or

Application/Control Number: 10/031.145

Art Unit: 1617

later patented application. Furthermore, it is deemed proper because it is the same composition used in the method of screening for an inhibitor of HCV p7 protein.

For the ease of the applicant the last office action is reproduce below.

Double Patenting

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. A nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting rejection is appropriate where the conflicting claims are not identical, but at least one examined application claim is not patentably distinct from the reference claim(s) because the examined application claim is either anticipated by, or would have been obvious over, the reference claim(s). See, e.g., In re Berg, 140 F.3d 1428, 46 USPQ2d 1226 (Fed. Cir. 1998); In re Goodman, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); In re Longi, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); In re Van Ornum, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); In re Vogel, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and In re Thorington, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) or 1.321(d) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent either is shown to be commonly owned with this application, or claims an invention made as a result of activities undertaken within the scope of a joint research agreement.

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 33, 35 and 38-40 are rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousnesstype double patenting as being unpatentable over claim 10 of U.S. Patent No. 7,256,005 (where hereinafter referred to as '005).

'005 teaches

Claim 10 illustrates formula 1 as the following:

Application/Control Number: 10/031,145

Art Unit: 1617

wherein each substituents R_{11} , R_{12} , R_{12} , R_{13} , R_{13} , R_{14} , R_{14} , R_{15} , R_{15} is selected, independently from each other, from a group consisting of -H; -OH; -F; -el; -Br; -l; -NH₂; alkyl- and dialkylamino; linear or branched C_{1-6} alkyl, C_{2-6} alkenyl and alkynyl; aralkyl; linear or branched C_{1-6} alkoxy; aryloxy; aralkoxy; - (alkyleneloxy(alkyl); -CN; -NO₂; -COOH, -CO0(alkyl); -COOlaryl); -C(O)NHIC~-e alkyl); -C(O)NHlaryl); sulfonyl; (C~-e alkyl)sulfonyl; arylsulfonyl; sulfamoyl, (Cl-e alkyl)sulfamoyl; (Cl-e alkyl)thio; (Cl-e alkyl)sulfonamide; arylsulfonamide; -NHNH₂; -NHOH; aryl; and heteroaryl, wherein each substituent may be the same or different.

 R^2 and $-R^{4-e}$ substituents selected independently of each other from a group consisting of linear $C_{7.18}$ alkyl, substituted C_{118} alkyl, branched C_{3-18} alkyl, C_{2-18} alkenyl and alkynyl, and aralkyl; wherein each **linear C_{7-8} alkyl**, branched C_{3-8} alkyl, C_{2-8} alkenyl and alkynyl, and aralkyl optionally may be substituted, and each substituted C_{1-18} alkyl is substituted with one or more groups independently selected from a group consisting of-OH; -F; -C1; -Br; -I; - NH2; alkyl- and dialkylamino; linear or **branched C_{-16} alkyl**, C_{2-8} alkenyl and alkynyl; aralkyl; linear or branched C_{1-6} alkoxy, aryloxy; aralkoxy; -CN, -NO₂, -COOH, -COO(alkyl); - COO(aryl); -C(O)NH(C_{1-6} alkyl); -C(O)NH(aryl); sulfonyl; C_{1-6} alkyl)sulfonyl; arylsulfonyl; sulfamoyl, C_{1-6} alkyl)sulfonamide; arylsulfonamide; - NHNH₂; and -NHOH.

Application/Control Number: 10/031,145

Art Unit: 1617

As such, the claims of the instant Application and the patented claims would

have been obvious variations of the other to one of ordinary skill in the art because '005 $\,$

patent encompasses the compounds in instant claims 38-40 because of formula 1 of the

patent disclose the base structure with the appropriate hydroxyl groups in the R₁₂₋₁₄ and

a methyl in the R₁₅ position.

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time

policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE

MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within

TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not

mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the

shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any

extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of

the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later

than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Conclusion

Claims 33, 35 and 38-40 are rejected.

No claims allowed

Art Unit: 1617

Communication

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to KATHRIEN CRUZ whose telephone number is (571)270-5238. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon - Thurs 7:00am - 5:00pm with every Friday off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Sreeni Padmanabhan can be reached on (571) 272-0629. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/KATHRIEN CRUZ/ Examiner, Art Unit 1617

/San-ming Hui/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1617